arXiv:math/0506356v1 [math.GT] 17 Jun 2005

THE HOPF INVARIANT OF A HAEFLIGER KNOT

MASAMICHI TAKASE

ABSTRACT. We show that Haefliger's differentiable $(6,3)$-knot bounds, in 6-space, a 4-manifold (a Seifert surface) of arbitrarily prescribed signature. This implies, according to our previous paper, that the Seifert surface has been prolonged in a prescribed direction near its boundary. This aspect enables us to understand a resemblance between Ekholm-Szűcs' formula for the Smale invariant and Boechát-Haefliger's formula for Haefliger knots. As a consequence, we show that an immersion of the 3-sphere in 5-space can be regularly homotoped to the projection of an embedding in 6-space if and only if its Smale invariant is even. We also correct a sign error in our previous paper: "A geometric formula for Haefliger knots" [Topology 43 (2004) 1425-1447].

1. INTRODUCTION

Haefliger [9, 10] discovered differentiably knotted spheres in codimension greater than three. In a particular case, he proved the isomorphism

$$\Omega\colon\ C^{2k+1}_{4k-1}\to \mathbf{Z},$$

where C^{2k+1}_{4k-1} denotes the group of differentiable isotopy classes of embeddings of S^{4k-1} in S^{6k} $(k\geq 1)$.

We deal with the case where $k=1$. We know that any such Haefliger knot $F\colon\ S^3\hookrightarrow S^6$ as above has a Seifert surface, that is, an embedding $\widetilde{F}\colon\ V^4\hookrightarrow S^6$ of a compact oriented 4-manifold whose restriction to the boundary $\partial V^4 (= S^3)$ coincides with F (see [2, 3],[8, p.95] and [24, Corollary 6.2]). Furthermore, we can consider, associated to such a Seifert surface, the Hopf invariant $H_{\widetilde{F}}\in\mathbf{Z}$ of the map from S^3 into $S^6\smallsetminus F(S^3)\simeq S^2$, determined by the outward normal field of $F(S^3)\subset\widetilde{F}(V^4)$. Then, by [24, Corollary 6.5], the Haefliger invariant $\Omega(F)$ of F is represented as

$$\Omega(F) = -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(V^4)+H_{\widetilde{F}}),$$

where $\sigma(V^4)$ denotes the signature of V^4.

Regarding this formula, we pose a natural question: *for a given Haefliger knot, which integer can be realised as the Hopf invariant of a Seifert surface?* In Corollaries 2.13 and 2.14, we give a complete answer to it; namely, we show that given a Haefliger knot and given an integer, we can choose a Seifert surface so that its Hopf invariant is equal to (or its signature is equal to) the given integer.

In §2.1, we will correct a sign error of our previous paper [24, Lemma 5.2]. With this correction, the sign should be changed in each term involving the square of the normal Euler class, appearing in [24, Theorem 5.1, Corrollaries 6.2, 6.3(a) and 6.5]. For details, see §2.1.

As a consequence, with the help of Ekholm and Szűcs' formula for the Smale invariant $\omega\colon\ \mathrm{Imm}[S^3,\mathbf{R}^5]\to\mathbf{Z}$ (denoting by $\mathrm{Imm}[S^3,\mathbf{R}^5]$ the group of regular homotopy classes of immersions of S^3 in $\mathbf{R}^5$), we show that for an embedding $F\colon\ S^3\hookrightarrow\mathbf{R}^6$ and an immersion $f\colon\ S^3\looparrowright\mathbf{R}^5$ with even Smale invariant, we can isotope F so that its composition with the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R40, 57R52; Secondary 57R45.

Key words and phrases. Haefliger knot; embedding; immersion; Hopf invariant; Smale invariant; signature of a 4-manifold; generic map; singular point.

projection $\mathbf{R}^6 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ becomes an immersion regularly homotopic to f (Theorem 3.1). In particular, any immersion $S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ with even Smale invariant can be regularly homotoped to the projection of the unknot in C_3^3. In Corollary 3.4, we show that an immersion $f\colon S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ can be regularly homotoped to the projection of an embedding $S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^6$ if and only if its Smale invariant $\omega(f)$ is even.

In §4, we discuss aspects of embeddings of punctured 4-manifolds in S^6.

We work in the C^∞-differentiable category; all manifolds and mappings are supposed to be differentiable of class C^∞, unless otherwise explicitly stated. Throughout this paper, all C^∞-mappings shall be in the so called *nice dimensions* (see [15]), where the two notions *stable map* and *generic map* are equivalent. We will use the term *generic* according to [6, §2.1]. For a map $f\colon X \to Y$ from a manifold X with non-empty boundary we often denote its restriction to the boundary by $\partial f (:= f|_{\partial X}\colon \partial X \to Y)$.

We will suppose the spheres are oriented. If M is an oriented manifold with non-empty boundary, then for the *induced orientation of* ∂M we adopt the *outward vector first* convention: we say an ordered basis of $T_p(\partial M)$ $(p \in \partial M)$ is positively oriented if an outward vector followed by the basis is a positively oriented basis of T_pM. For a closed n-dimensional manifold M we denote its punctured manifold by $M_\circ$; i.e., $M_\circ := M \smallsetminus \mathrm{Int} D^n$.

We use the symbol '$\approx$' for a group isomorphism and '$\cong$' for a diffeomorphism between manifolds; the symbol '$\simeq$' means a homotopy equivalence between two topological spaces. The homology and cohomology groups are supposed to be with integer coefficients unless otherwise explicitly noted.

2. SEIFERT SURFACES FOR HAEFLIGER KNOTS AND THEIR HOPF INVARIANTS

Haefliger has proved in [9] and [10, §5.16] that the group C_{4k-1}^{2k+1} of isotopy classes of embeddings $S^{4k-1} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ is isomorphic to the integers $\mathbf{Z}$ $(k \geq 1)$. Since he has also given an explicit construction of an embedding representing a generator of C_{4k-1}^{2k+1}, with respect to his generator we have the identification of C_{4k-1}^{2k+1} with $\mathbf{Z}$, which we call the Haefliger invariant

$$\Omega\colon C_{4k-1}^{2k+1} \to \mathbf{Z}.$$

For a Haefliger knot, we can consider its *Seifert surface* analogously as in the usual knot theory in codimension two.

Definition 2.1. For an embedding $F\colon S^{4k-1} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$, *a Seifert surface for* F is an embedding $\widetilde{F}\colon V^{4k} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ of a compact connected oriented $4k$-manifold with boundary $\partial V^{4k} = S^{4k-1}$, whose restriction $\partial\widetilde{F}\colon S^{4k-1} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ to the boundary coincides with F.

We will discuss the existence of such a Seifert surface in §2.2. Associated to a Seifert surface, we can consider the Hopf invariant as in [24].

Definition 2.2. Let $\widetilde{F}\colon V^{4k} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ be a Seifert surface for an embedding $F\colon S^{4k-1} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$. Then, the outward normal field of $F(S^{4k-1}) \subset \widetilde{F}(V^{4k})$ determines the map $\nu_{\widetilde{F}}\colon S^{4k-1} \to S^{6k} \smallsetminus F(S^{4k-1})$. We consider its homotopy class $[\nu_{\widetilde{F}}]$ to be lying in $\pi_{4k-1}(S^{6k} \smallsetminus F(S^{4k-1})) = \pi_{4k-1}(S^{2k})$, via the homotopy equivalence $p \circ \psi\colon S^{6k} \smallsetminus F(S^{4k-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^{4k} \times S^{2k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} S^{2k}$, where ψ is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism (see [20, Theorem 5.2]) and p is the projection. Thus, we define *the Hopf invariant* $H_{\widetilde{F}}$ *for* $\widetilde{F}$ to be the Hopf invariant of $[\nu_{\widetilde{F}}]$.

2.1. A formula for the Haefliger invariant — a correction to the paper "A geometric formula for Haefliger knots" [Topology 43 (2004) 1425-1447].

In our previous paper [24], we related the Hopf invariant for $\widetilde{F}$ to the normal Euler class of $\widetilde{F}$; however, there was an error about a sign. This subsection is devoted to correcting the sign error and reviewing a formula for the Haefliger invariant with the corrected sign (see also [2, 3, 8]).

The error occurs in the last sentence of the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [24, p.1443]. It reads "*Thus, we have* $H_{\widetilde{F}} = -[\widetilde{F}(\Sigma^{2k})]$." but should be "*Thus, the desired functional product is computed to be equal to* $-[\widetilde{F}(\Sigma^{2k})]$ *and hence the Hopf invariant* $H_{\widetilde{F}}$ *is equal to* $[\widetilde{F}(\Sigma^{2k})] \in H_{2k}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$." The reason is that the Hopf invariant is defined, in [24, §2.2], to be minus the functional cup product. Note that the similar argument can be found in the last part of the proof of Proposition 3.5 in [24, p.1436].

With this correction, each term involving the square of the normal Euler class, appearing in [24, Theorem 5.1, Corrollaries 6.2, 6.3(a) and 6.5], should change its sign, as follows.

First, Theorem 5.1 in [24, p.1442] should be written as:

Theorem 2.3 ([24, Theorem 5.1])**.** *Let* $\widehat{V}^{4k}$ *be a closed oriented* $4k$*-manifold and put* $V^{4k} := \widehat{V}^{4k} \setminus \operatorname{Int} D^{4k}$*. Let* $\widetilde{F}\colon V^{4k} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ *be an embedding with normal Euler class* $e_{\widetilde{F}} \in H^{2k}(V^{4k}) = H^{2k}(\widehat{V}^{4k})$*. Then, the Hopf invariant* $H_{\widetilde{F}}$ (*along the boundary*) *is equal to* $-e_{\widetilde{F}} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}} \in H^{4k}(\widehat{V}^{4k}) = \mathbf{Z}$*.*

Putting $M^{4k} := S^{2k} \times S^{2k} \setminus \operatorname{Int} D^{4k}$, Corollary 6.2 in [24, p.1444] should be as:

Corollary 2.4 ([24, Corollary 6.2])**.** *Let* $\widetilde{E}_{a,b}\colon M^{4k} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ *be an embedding with normal Euler class* $(2a, 2b) \in H^{2k}(M^{4k}) = H^{2k}(S^{2k} \times S^{2k}) \approx \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$*. Then* $E_{a,b} := \widetilde{E}_{a,b}|_{\partial M^{4k}}\colon S^{4k-1} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ *represents* $ab \in C^{2k+1}_{4k-1} = \mathbf{Z}$*. In particular,* $E_{\pm 1,\pm 1}\colon S^{4k-1} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ *represents the generator of* C^{2k+1}_{4k-1}*.*

Furthermore, Corollary 6.3(a) in [24, p.1444] should be as:

Corollary 2.5 ([24, Corollary 6.3(a)])**.** (a) *For an arbitrary embedding* $F\colon S^{4k-1} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$*, there exists an embedding* $\widetilde{F}\colon V^{4k} \hookrightarrow S^{6k}$ *of a compact oriented* $4k$*-manifold* V^{4k} *with* $\partial V^{4k} = S^{4k-1}$ *such that* $\widetilde{F}|_{\partial V^{4k}} = F$*. Furthermore,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(F) &= -\frac{1}{24}(-\bar{p}_k[\widehat{V}^{4k}] + 3H_{\widetilde{F}}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{24}(-\bar{p}_k[\widehat{V}^{4k}] - 3e_{\widetilde{F}} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}}) \end{aligned}$$

gives the isomorphism $\Omega\colon C^{2k+1}_{4k-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$*, where* $e_{\widetilde{F}} \in H^{2k}(V^{4k}) = H^{2k}(\widehat{V}^{4k})$ *is the normal Euler class for* $\widetilde{F}$ *and* $e_{\widetilde{F}} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}} \in H^{4k}(\widehat{V}^{4k}) = \mathbf{Z}$ *is the cup product.*

Corollary 6.5 in [24, p.1445] in the case C^3_3 ($k = 1$) should be as:

Corollary 2.6 ([24, Corollary 6.5], see also [8, p.95])**.** *Every embedding* $F\colon S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ *extends to an embedding* $\widetilde{F}\colon V^4 \hookrightarrow S^6$ *of a compact oriented* 4*-manifold* V^4*, and*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(F) &= -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(V^4) + H_{\widetilde{F}}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(V^4) - e_{\widetilde{F}} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}}) \end{aligned}$$

gives the isomorphism $\Omega\colon C^3_3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$*.*

2.2. **Constructions of Seifert surfaces.** From now on, we will confine ourselves to the case C^3_3 ($k = 1$). In this subsection, we review some concrete constructions of Seifert surfaces for Haefliger knots, which have been used in [8, p.95] and [24, Corollary 6.2].

First, we write down the particular case of Corollary 2.4 in $k = 1$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let* $\widetilde{E}_{a,b}\colon (S^2 \times S^2)_\circ \hookrightarrow S^6$ *be an embedding with normal Euler class* $(2a, 2b) \in H^2((S^2 \times S^2)_\circ) = H^2(S^2 \times S^2) \approx \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$*. Then* $E_{a,b} := \widetilde{E}_{a,b}|_{\partial (S^2 \times S^2)_\circ}\colon S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ *represents* $ab \in C^3_3 = \mathbf{Z}$*.*

We see that for any (isotopy class of) Haefliger knot we can construct its Seifert surface by choosing a suitable pair (a,b) of integers. In particular, if we put $(a,b)=(1,1)$ in Corollary 2.7, the embedding $\widetilde{E}_{1,1}\colon (S^2\times S^2)_\circ \hookrightarrow S^6$ is a Seifert surface for the generator of $C_3^3=\mathbf{Z}$, of signature zero and with Hopf invariant -8 (by Theorem 2.3).

A clue given for [8, Exercise 3(ii) on p.95] elicits another construction.

Proposition 2.8 (see [8, p.95])**.** *The punctured complex projective plane* $\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ$ *can be embedded in* S^6 *with normal Euler class* $2k+1\in H^2(\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ)\approx \mathbf{Z}$ $(k\in\mathbf{Z})$*. If we denote by* $\widetilde{E}_k\colon \mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ\hookrightarrow S^6$ *such an embedding with normal Euler class* $2k+1$*, then its Hopf invariant is equal to* $-4k^2-4k-1$ *and* $\partial\widetilde{E}_k\colon S^3\hookrightarrow S^6$ *represents* $k(k+1)/2\in C_3^3=\mathbf{Z}$*.*

Proof. Consider the 2-dimensional disc bundle η over $\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ$ with Euler class $2k+1\in H^2(\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ;\mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z}$. Since the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(\eta)\in H^2(\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ;\mathbf{Z}_2)=\mathbf{Z}_2$ of η is congruent to $2k+1$ modulo 2, we have $w_2(T\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ\oplus\eta)=w_2(T\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ)\smile 1+1\smile 1=0\in H^2(\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ;\mathbf{Z}_2)$. Therefore, together with $\pi_3(SO(6))=0$, we see that $T\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ\oplus\eta$ is trivial. Then, Hirsch's h-principle [11] implies that $\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ$ can be immersed in S^6 with normal bundle isomorphic to η. Let $\widetilde{F}\colon \mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ\looparrowright S^6$ be such an immersion with normal Euler class $2k+1$. (Actually the above argument implies that the normal Euler class of such an immersion ought to be of the form $2k+1\in H^2(\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ;\mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z}$).

Since $\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ$ is the total space of a 2-dimensional disc bundle over $\mathbf{C}P^1\cong S^2$, the immersion $\widetilde{F}$ is regularly homotopic to an embedding. Since a regular homotopy does not change the normal bundle, the first part of the proposition has been proved.

Let $\widetilde{E}_k\colon \mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ\hookrightarrow S^6$ be an embedding with normal Euler class $2k+1$. Then, by Theorem 2.3, its Hopf invariant $H_{\widetilde{F}}$ is equal to $-(2k+1)\smile(2k+1)=-4k^2-4k-1\in H^4(\mathbf{C}P^2;\mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z}$, where we consider $2k+1\in H^2(\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ;\mathbf{Z})$ to be in $H^2(\mathbf{C}P^2;\mathbf{Z})$. Thus, by Corollary 2.6, we have $\Omega(\partial\widetilde{E}_k)=-(1-4k^2-4k-1)/8=k(k+1)/2$. This completes the proof. ☐

Remark 2.9. In Proposition 2.8, if we use the complex projective plane $\overline{\mathbf{C}P^2}$ with the reversed orientation, we have a Seifert surface, of signature -1 with the Hopf invariant $4k^2+4k+1$, for an embedding representing $-k(k+1)/2\in C_3^3=\mathbf{Z}$.

Remark 2.10. Corollary 2.7 implies that the punctured $S^2\times S^2$ can be a Seifert surface for any (isotopy class of) Haefliger knot. On the other hand, Proposition 2.8 shows that the punctured complex projective plane $\mathbf{C}P^2{}_\circ$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbf{C}P^2}{}_\circ$) can be a Seifert surface only for non-negative classes (resp. for non-positive classes) of $C_3^3=\mathbf{Z}$.

2.3. **Seifert surfaces with given Hopf invariants.** Regarding the formula in Corollary 2.6, it is natural to ask which integer can be realised as the Hopf invariant (or as the signature) of a Seifert surface for a given Haefliger knot. We will give a complete answer to it in Corollaries 2.13 and 2.14.

Proposition 2.11. *For the standard inclusion* $S^3\subset S^6$*, there exists a Seifert surface whose Hopf invariant is equal to* 1*.*

Proof. If we put $k=0$ in Proposition 2.8, then we have the embedding with Hopf invariant -1, denoted by $\widetilde{P}\colon \mathbf{C}P^2_\circ\hookrightarrow S^6$, whose restriction to the boundary represents $-(1-1)/8=0\in C_3^3=\mathbf{Z}$ and hence is isotopic to the standard inclusion. Since a differentiable isotopy implies a differentiable ambient isotopy, the embedding $\widetilde{P}$ followed by a suitable diffeomorphism on S^6 gives a desired Seifert surface for the standard inclusion. ☐

Remark 2.12. The similar argument for $\overline{\mathbf{C}P^2}{}_\circ$ (putting $k=0$ in Remark 2.9) provides another Seifert surface $\widetilde{Q}\colon \overline{\mathbf{C}P^2}{}_\circ\hookrightarrow S^6$, of signature -1 and with Hopf invariant 1, for the standard inclusion $S^3\subset S^6$.

Proposition 2.11 and Remark 2.12 imply that for a given Haefliger knot we can change its Seifert surface so as to have a desired Hopf invariant (in return for changing the signature), by taking the boundary connected sum with $\widetilde{P}$ or with $\widetilde{Q}$. Namely we have:

Corollary 2.13. *For an arbitrary embedding* $F\colon\ S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ *and an arbitrary integer* $k \in \mathbf{Z}$*, there exists a Seifert surface for* F *whose Hopf invariant is equal to* k*.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary Seifert surface for F. Then, by taking the boundary connected sum with $\widetilde{P}$ (resp. with $\widetilde{Q}$), we obtain a new Seifert surface with signature which differs by -1 (resp. by 1) to the initial one, without changing the isotopy class of the embedding $S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ on the boundary. Repeat this procedure until we have a Seifert surface with the Hopf invariant equal to k. Thus, composing it with a suitable ambient isotopy if necessary, we obtain a desired Seifert surface. □

In view of the formula for the Haefliger invariant (Corollary 2.6), a very similar argument provides the following.

Corollary 2.14. *For an arbitrary embedding* $F\colon\ S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ *and an arbitrary integer* $k \in \mathbf{Z}$*, there exists a Seifert surface for* F *with signature* k*.*

Recall that the normal bundle of an embedding $F\colon\ S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ is trivial [14] and that the homotopy classes of its normal framings are classified by the elements of $\pi_3(SO(3)) \approx \mathbf{Z}$. Furthermore, the homomorphism $\pi_3(SO(3)) \to \pi_3(S^2)$ induced by the natural map $SO(3) \to SO(3)/SO(2) = S^2$ and the (usual) Hopf invariant $\pi_3(S^2) \to \mathbf{Z}$ are both isomorphisms. Therefore, the following is just an interpretation of Corollary 2.13.

Corollary 2.15. *For an arbitrary embedding* $F\colon\ S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ *and an arbitrary normal vector field to* $F(S^3)$ *in* S^6*, there exists a Seifert surface* $\widetilde{F}\colon\ V^4 \hookrightarrow S^6$ *for which the normal field along the boundary* $F(S^3) \subset \widetilde{F}(V^4)$ *is in accordance with the given normal vector field.*

3. THE PROJECTION OF A HAEFLIGER KNOT

The purpose of this section is to prove the following two Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Let $p\colon\ \mathbf{R}^6 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ be the projection, defined by dropping off the last coordinate: $(x_0, \ldots, x_5, x_6) \mapsto (x_0, \ldots, x_5)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $f\colon\ S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ *be an immersion with even Smale invariant and* $F\colon\ S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^6$ *an embedding. Then, there exist an immersion* $f'\colon\ S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ *regularly homotopic to* f *and an embedding* $F'\colon\ S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^6$ *isotopic to* F *such that* $f' = p \circ F'$*.*

Theorem 3.2. *If the projection* $p \circ F$ *of an embedding* $F\colon\ S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^6$ *is an immersion, then its Smale invariant* $\omega(p \circ F)$ *is even.*

As an easy corollary of Theorem 3.1, we have:

Corollary 3.3. *Any immersion* $f\colon\ S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ *with even Smale invariant can be regularly homotoped to the projection of the unknot in* C_3^3*.*

Combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. *An immersion* $f\colon\ S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ *can be regularly homotoped to the projection of an embedding* $S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^6$ *if and only if its Smale invariant* $\omega(f)$ *is even.*

Remark 3.5. It has been shown in [19] that if a closed n-manifold ($n \geq 3$) is non-orientable or odd-dimensional then there exists an immersion with normal crossings $M^n \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^{2n-1}$ which can never be lifted to an embedding into $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$.

The proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 will depend on Ekholm and Szűcs' geometric formula [6], which enables us to read off the Smale invariant of, hence the regular homotopy class of, an immersion of S^{4k-1} in $\mathbf{R}^{4k+1}$ ($k \geq 1$) in terms of its *singular Seifert surface*. We will first review their formula (only in our case, i.e., when $k = 1$) in §3.1 and then give the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in §3.2.

3.1. **Ekholm and Szűcs' formula.** We need to recall some definitions from [6, §2.4]. Let $g\colon M^4 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ be a generic map of a compact oriented 4-manifold. Then, the set $\widetilde{\Sigma}(g)$ of its singular points is a 2-dimensional submanifold of M^4, in which finitely many isolated *cusp points* lie. Note that each cusp point has the naturally induced orientation [22, Appendix A].

Definition 3.6 (see [6, Definition 2.10 and Remark 6.2])**.** Let $g\colon M^4 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ be a generic map of an oriented 4-manifold. Then let $\xi(g)$ denote the 2-dimensional vector bundle over $\widetilde{\Sigma}(g)$, whose fibre over $p \in \widetilde{\Sigma}(g)$ is $\xi(g)_p = T_{g(p)}\mathbf{R}^5/dg(T_pM^4)$ and $e[\xi(g)]$ denote its Euler number (in the sense of [6, Definition 2.10]).

Remark 3.7 ([6, Remark 6.4])**.** When a generic map $g\colon M^4 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ of an oriented 4-manifold M^4 is the composition of an immersion $G\colon M^4 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^6$ with the projection $\mathbf{R}^6 \to \mathbf{R}^5$, we have a more convenient description of the Euler number $e[\xi(g)]$ in Definition 3.6. In such a situation, the homology class of $\widetilde{\Sigma}(g)$ in M^4 is dual to the normal Euler class of G, and the bundle $\xi(g)$ is isomorphic to the normal bundle of G restricted to $\widetilde{\Sigma}(g)$, whose Euler class evaluated by the orientation class $[\widetilde{\Sigma}(g)]$ precisely equals $e[\xi(g)]$.

Ekholm and Szűcs [6, Theorem 1.1 and Remark 3.1] have given a formula for the Smale invariant [20]

$$\omega\colon \operatorname{Imm}[S^3, \mathbf{R}^5] \to \mathbf{Z},$$

which gives a group isomorphism between the group $\operatorname{Imm}[S^3, \mathbf{R}^5]$ of regular homotopy classes of immersions of S^3 in $\mathbf{R}^5$ and the integers $\mathbf{Z}$.

Theorem 3.8 ([6, Theorem 1.1(a) and Remark 3.1])**.** *Let $f\colon S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ be an immersion and $\widetilde{f}\colon V^4 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ be a singular Seifert surface for f, that is, a generic map with $\partial\widetilde{f} = f$ which has no singularity near the boundary. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(f) &= \frac{1}{2}(3\sigma(V^4) + e[\xi(\widetilde{f})]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(3\sigma(V^4) + \#\Sigma^{1,1}(\widetilde{f})),\end{aligned}$$

where $\#\Sigma^{1,1}(\widetilde{f})$ denotes the algebraic number of cusp points of $\widetilde{f}$.

Note that this generalises the result of Hughes and Melvin [13] since we can consider a usual non-singular Seifert surface for an embedding.

3.2. **The proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.**

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In what follows, we often consider F to be an embedding in S^6 and use the same symbol for it: $F\colon S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6 = \mathbf{R}^6 \cup \{\infty\}$.

Since the Smale invariant $\omega(f)$ is even, we can take the pair (A, B) of integers satisfying the following simultaneous linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} -(A-B)/8 &= \Omega(F) \\ (3A+B)/2 &= \omega(f). \end{cases}$$

According to Corollary 2.14, take a Seifert surface $\widetilde{F}\colon V^4 \hookrightarrow S^6$ for F with $\sigma(V^4) = A$. Then, the Hopf invariant $H_{\widetilde{F}}$ should be equal to $-B$ since $\Omega(F) = -(\sigma(V^4) + H_{\widetilde{F}})/8 = -(A-B)/8$.

Consider the normal framing $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ for F such that the first vector field v_1 coincides with the normal field of $F(S^3) \subset \widetilde{F}(V^4)$. This is always possible since $\pi_3(V_{3,1}) \approx \pi_3(SO(3))$.

Then, by using the Compression Theorem [16], we can isotope F to a *compressible* embedding F' so that the composition $p \circ F'$ is an immersion $S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$. Here we mean by "*compressible*" that the third normal vector field v_3 is parallel to $\partial/\partial x_6$. Furthermore, by using a suitable ambient isotopy, we can isotope $\widetilde{F}\colon V^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^6$ to $\widetilde{F}'\colon V^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^6$ with

$\partial \widetilde{F}' = F'$, so that the composition $p \circ \widetilde{F}' \colon V^4 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ has no singularity near its boundary. Since we can further assume that $p \circ \widetilde{F}'$ is generic, $p \circ \widetilde{F}' \colon V^4 \to \mathbf{R}^5$ is a singular Seifert surface (see Theorem 3.8) for the immersion $p \circ F' \colon S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$.

Now, we will compute the Smale invariant $\omega(p \circ F')$ by using Ekholm-Szűcs' formula (Theorem 3.8):

$$\omega(p \circ F') = \frac{1}{2}(3\sigma(V^4) + e[\xi(p \circ \widetilde{F}')]).$$

If we denote by $e_{\widetilde{F}'}$ the normal Euler class of $\widetilde{F}'$ and by $e(\xi(p \circ \widetilde{F}'))$ the Euler class of the bundle $\xi(p \circ \widetilde{F}')$ over the set $\widetilde{\Sigma}(p \circ \widetilde{F}')$ as in Definition 3.6, then, according to Remark 3.7 (see also [6, Remark 6.4]), the homology class of $\widetilde{\Sigma}(p \circ \widetilde{F}')$ in V^4 is dual to $e_{\widetilde{F}'}$ and the bundle $\xi(p \circ \widetilde{F}')$ is isomorphic to the normal bundle of $\widetilde{F}'$ restricted to $\widetilde{\Sigma}(p \circ \widetilde{F}')$. Therefore, we see that

$$e[\xi(p \circ \widetilde{F}')] = \langle e(\xi(p \circ \widetilde{F}')), [\widetilde{\Sigma}(p \circ \widetilde{F}')] \rangle = \langle e_{\widetilde{F}'} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}'}, [V^4, \partial V^4] \rangle,$$

which is further equal to minus the Hopf invariant $-H_{\widetilde{F}} = B$ by Theorem 2.3. Finally, we have

$$\omega(p \circ F') = \frac{1}{2}(3\sigma(V^4) + e[\xi(p \circ \widetilde{F}')]) = \frac{1}{2}(3A + B) = \omega(f).$$

This means that the immersion $f' := p \circ F'$ is regularly homotopic to the given immersion f. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Take a normal framing $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$ for F such that the third vector field ν_3 is in accordance with $\partial/\partial x_6$, which is not tangent to $F(S^3) \subset \mathbf{R}^6$ since $p \circ F$ is an immersion.

By Corollary 2.15, we can consider a Seifert surface $\widetilde{F} \colon V^4 \hookrightarrow S^6$ for which the normal field along the boundary $F(S^3) \subset \widetilde{F}(V^4)$ coincides with the first normal vector field ν_1.

Then, the composition $p \circ \widetilde{F}$ of $\widetilde{F}$ with the projection p has no singularity near the boundary and can be considered to be a singular Seifert surface for $p \circ F$.

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the Smale invariant $\omega(p \circ F)$ can be computed as:

$$\omega(p \circ F) = \frac{1}{2}(3\sigma(V^4) + e_{\widetilde{F}} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}}),$$

where $e_{\widetilde{F}} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}} \in H^4(V^4, \partial V^4) = \mathbf{Z}$ is the square of the normal Euler class of $\widetilde{F}$. Since $\sigma(V^4) \equiv e_{\widetilde{F}} \smile e_{\widetilde{F}} \pmod 8$, we have $\omega(p \circ F) \equiv 2\sigma(V^4) \pmod 4$. Thus, $\omega(p \circ F)$ is even. □

Remark 3.9. It seems that Theorem 3.2 can be deduced from the following argument. Denote by $\delta(f)$ the number of non-trivial components of the set of double points of an immersion $f \colon S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ (see [23]). Then, [5, Proposition 7.5.2 and Corollary 8.3.2] imply that $\delta(f) \pmod 2$ determines the non-trivial homomorphism $\mathrm{Imm}[S^3, \mathbf{R}^5] \to \mathbf{Z}_2$, which consequently coincides with the Smale invariant $\omega(f) \pmod 2$. According to Szűcs [23], if an immersion $f \colon S^3 \looparrowright \mathbf{R}^5$ can be lifted to an embedding in S^6, then $\delta(f)$ and hence $\omega(f)$ must be even.

4. PUNCTURED EMBEDDINGS OF 4-MANIFOLDS IN CODIMENSION 2

It is natural to ask if a similar construction as in §2.2 is applicable to other oriented 4-manifolds. We argue here the case of the Kummer surface K. The following is proved very similarly to Proposition 2.8.

Proposition 4.1. *The punctured Kummer surface $K_\circ$ can be embedded in S^6 with normal Euler class* $((0,\dots,0),(0,\dots,0),(0,0),(0,0),(2a,2b)) \in H^2(K_\circ) = H^2(K) = \mathbf{Z}^8 \oplus \mathbf{Z}^8 \oplus \mathbf{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}^2$ (*represented with respect to the decomposition* $E_8 \oplus E_8 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *of the intersection form of* K*) for any integers* $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$*. Such an embedding restricted to the boundary represents* $2 + ab \in C_3^3 = \mathbf{Z}$*.*

Proof. Since the Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(K)$ is the reduction of the integral class $\eta := ((0,\dots,0),(0,\dots,0),(0,0),(0,0),(2a,2b)) \in H_2(V^4;\mathbf{Z})$, by Hirsch's h-principle [11], there is an immersion of $K_\circ$ in S^6 with normal Euler class η. Since the punctured Kummer surface $K_\circ$ has a handlebody decomposition with a 0-handle and several 2-handles with even framings, this immersion is regularly homotopic to an embedding.

The latter part is just due to a computation of the Haefliger invariant:

$$-\frac{1}{8}\left(\sigma(K_\circ) - \begin{pmatrix} 2a & 2b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2a \\ 2b \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{-16 - 8ab}{-8} = 2 + ab.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.2. In fact, the punctured Kummer surface can be embedded in S^5. Since such an embedding in S^5 has trivial normal bundle, its composition with the inclusion $S^5 \subset S^6$ restricted to the boundary represents $2 \in C_3^3 = \mathbf{Z}$ (see [10, Theorem 5.17] and [24, §7]). Proposition 4.1, however, implies that for a suitable pair (a, b) of integers, the punctured Kummer surface can be a Seifert surface for any (isotopy class of) Haefliger knot (see Remark 2.10).

Remark 4.3. Combining Corollary 2.7, Propositions 2.8, 4.1 and Remark 2.9, we see that any indefinite symmetric unimodular form can be realised as the intersection form of a Seifert surface for a Haefliger knot.

We easily see that many other orientable 4-manifolds can be Seifert surfaces for Haefliger knots. For example, the punctured manifold $V^4_\circ$ of a closed spin manifold V^4 can be embedded in S^6, since the double $\partial(V^4_\circ \times [0,1])$ of $V^4_\circ$ is a closed spin 4-manifold of signature zero and such a manifold can be embedded in S^6 (e.g., see [4, 17, 18] and [1, Theorem 2.5]). We also see that a simply-connected punctured 4-manifold can be embedded in S^6 ([12, Corollary 4.2]).

Note that if V^4 is a closed *non-orientable* manifold with non-zero third normal Stiefel-Whitney class $\bar{w}_3(V^4)$ then its punctured manifold $V^4_\circ$ cannot be embedded in S^6. For if we have an embedding $\widetilde{F}\colon V^4_\circ \hookrightarrow S^6$, then since $\partial\widetilde{F}\colon S^3 \hookrightarrow S^6$ is *topologically* unknotted [21], we can construct a *topological* embedding of the closed manifold V^4 in S^7; this contradicts $\bar{w}_3(V^4) \neq 0$ according to [7, Theorem 1.2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to convey his sincere thanks to Professor Osamu Saeki for his invaluable advice. He would also thank Professors Dennis Roseman, Takashi Nishimura and Yukio Matsumoto for constant encouragement and many helpful comments.

The author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Barden, *Simply-connected five manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 82 (1965) 365–385.

[2] J. Boéchat, *Plongements de variétés différentiables orientées de dimension* $4k$ *dans* $\mathbf{R}^{6k+1}$, Comment. Math. Helv. 46 (1971) 141–161.

[3] J. Boéchat and A. Haefliger, *Plongements différentiables des variétés orientées de dimension* 4 *dans* $\mathbf{R}^7$, Essays on Topology and Related Topics, Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham, 156–166, Springer, New York, 1970.

[4] S. E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson, *Embeddings and immersions of four-dimensional manifolds in* $\mathbf{R}^6$, Geometric topology (Proc. Georgia Topology Conf., Athens, Ga., 1977), pp. 301–303, Academic Press, New York-London, 1979.

[5] T. Ekholm, *Differential* 3*-knots in* 5*-space with and without self intersections,* Topology 40 (2001) 157–196.

- [6] T. Ekholm and A. Szűcs, *Geometric formulas for Smale invariants of codimension two immersions*, Topology 42 (2003) 171—196.
- [7] F. Fang, *Orientable* 4*-manifolds topologically embed into* $\mathbf{R}^7$, Topology 41 (2002) 927—930.
- [8] L. Guillou and A. Marin, *Commentaires sur les quatre articles précédents de V. A. Rohlin*, in: L. Guillou, A. Marin (Eds.), À la recherche de la topologie perdue, 25–95, Progr. Math., 62, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1986.
- [9] A. Haefliger, *Knotted* $(4k-1)$*-spheres in* $6k$*-space*, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962) 452–466.
- [10] A. Haefliger, *Differential embeddings of* S^n *in* S^{n+q} *for* $q \geq 2$, Ann. of Math. 83 (1966) 402–436.
- [11] M. Hirsch, *Immersions of manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959) 242—276.
- [12] M. Hirsch, *On imbedding differentiable manifolds in euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. 73 (1961) 566–571.
- [13] J. Hughes and P. Melvin, *The Smale invariant of a knot*, Comment. Math. Helv. 60 (1985) 615–627.
- [14] M. A. Kervaire, *Sur l'invariant de Smale d'un plongement*, Comment. Math. Helv. 34 (1960) 127–139.
- [15] J. Mather, *Stability of* C^∞ *mappings VI: The nice dimensions*, Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities-Symposium, I (1969/70), pp. 207–253. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 192, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [16] C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, *The compression theorem I*, Geom. Topol. 5 (2001) 399–429.
- [17] D. Ruberman, *Imbedding four-manifolds and slicing links*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 91 (1982) 107–110.
- [18] O. Saeki, *Theory of fibered* 3*-knots in* S^5 *and its applications*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 6 (1999), 691-756.
- [19] O. Saeki and K. Sakuma, *Immersed n-manifolds in* $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ *and the double points of their generic projections into* $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1}$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996) 2585-2606.
- [20] S. Smale, *A classification of immersions of spheres in euclidean spaces*, Ann. of Math. 69 (1959) 327–344.
- [21] J. Stallings, *On topologically unknotted spheres*, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963) 490–503.
- [22] A. Szűcs, *On the singularities of hyperplane projections of immersions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 32 (2000) 364–374.
- [23] A. Szűcs, *Note on double points of immersions*, Manuscripta Math. 76 (1992) 251–256.
- [24] M. Takase, *A geometric formula for Haefliger knots*, Topology 43 (2004) 1425–1447.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN SCIENCES, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 240-8501 JAPAN.

E-mail address: `takase@ynu.ac.jp`